

Corrections

E. Laine, A. Blondel, and T. Malliavin. 2009. Dynamics and energetics: a consensus analysis of the impact of calcium on EF-CaM protein complex. *Biophys. J.* 96:1249-1263.

Columns do not line up properly in Table 1. Here is the correct table:

TABLE 1 Hierarchical clustering analysis: mean correlation values are given for clusters identified from the generalized correlation matrices of EF-(0Ca-CaM), EF-(2Ca-CaM), and EF-(4Ca-CaM) with different cut heights h

	EF-(0Ca-CaM)	EF-(2Ca-CaM)	EF-(4Ca-CaM)
5. $17 \leq h < 7.1$	0.44 ± 0.10	0.45 ± 0.10	0.46 ± 0.10
	0.60 ± 0.08	0.60 ± 0.07	0.60 ± 0.08
$5 \leq h < 5.17$	0.44 ± 0.10	0.45 ± 0.10	0.46 ± 0.10
	0.60 ± 0.08	0.60 ± 0.07	0.56 ± 0.08
			0.71 ± 0.07
$4.4 \leq h < 5$	0.46 ± 0.10	0.46 ± 0.10	0.46 ± 0.10
	0.46 ± 0.16	0.47 ± 0.22	0.56 ± 0.08
	0.60 ± 0.08	0.60 ± 0.07	0.71 ± 0.07

For $h_{\text{opt}} = 5$ two, two, and three clusters are identified for EF-(0Ca-CaM), EF-(2Ca-CaM) and EF-(4Ca-CaM), respectively, which display significantly different mean correlation values.

doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2009.12.4194

F. Meersman, D. Bowron, A. K. Soper, and M. H. J. Koch. 2009. Counteraction of urea by trimethylamine *N*-oxide is due to direct interaction. *Biophys J.* 97:2559–2566.

Due to an error in the calculation of the $n_{\text{O-Hu}}$ coordination number, the second paragraph after Fig. 5 should read:

Fig. 5 illustrates that urea interacts directly ($n_{\text{O-Hu}} \approx 0.18$) with TMAO through somewhat longer O···H-N hydrogen bonds (1.83 Å). It is expected that adding another equivalent of urea to the solution would largely eliminate strong direct interactions between the TMAO oxygen and water.

In Fig. 4, all numbers on the ordinate ($g(r)$) should be divided by 2.

doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2009.12.4277